R-1

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

L.R. 65 of 92. 1892-93. ~~191~~

(L.R)

File No. 66

Serial Nos. 1–4

Later references.

Agri 13 of 98.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1–4 (15)

M ~~Part~~ B for June 1894.

SUBJECT.

Blacksmiths' service Inams in certain Villages in Srinivasapur Sub. Taluk.

Gudeed Dw

Collr Tumkur Rec'd

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

K

Memo 9

Letter No: 131/24 dated 13th July 1892. from the Deputy Commissioner Kolar District.

The papers Submitted with the above relate to the Service Inam lands held by the Blacksmith of Royalpad and other villages in the Sreenivaspur Sub Taluk.

2. The circumstances of the case are as follows:—

Vide S No. 1

3. Akkalamma and Gundamma were respectively the widows of two brothers Subbappa and Timmappa. They both died, the former about 1879 leaving no issue and the latter at a previous date leaving a daughter-in-law Lakshma akka the widow of her Son

Nanappa who had pre-
-deceased her.

4. The aforesaid AKKalamma enjoyed the Inam lands in question till about 1875-6 (Yuva), when she made over them to one Venkatasami, a Goldsmith at Royalpad who claims the same under a deed of transfer purporting to have been executed by her in his favour on the 8th Kartika Suddha of the year Yuva. This deed recites that for want of qualified heirs, the executant has transferred the Inam to Venkatasami and that the latter may enjoy it paying her a share of the produce the quit-rent due thereon to Government and performing the blacksmith's service in the villages to which the Inam lands are attached.

The

the goldsmith appears to have ever since been in possession and enjoyment of the lands under allusion.

S No. 1/a+1/b

5. In the year 1890, there appears to have been some misunderstanding between Venkatasami and the villagers of Royalpaud about the non-performance of the Black-Smith's service. Muni Reddi patel and the villagers of Royal-pad complained to the Amildar of Sreeneevasapur that Venkatasami, who is in possession of the Black-Smith Service Inam lands, does not know how to perform the Blacksmith Service as he is only a gold-Smith; that he is not the proper heir to the Inam lands; that the descendants of the Inamdars are at the Siddalgutta

that the lands must be
taken away from him and
given to the lawful descendant
or to a third party who
promises to discharge the
duties of the Blacksmith's
service regularly.

6 In pursuance of the S No 1/S & 2
above complaint, Lakshamakka,
who was with her parents
at Siddalgutta since the
death of her husband,
came to ~~the~~ Royalpad with
a minor son alleged to be
the posthumous offspring
of her deceased husband,
and petitioned the Deputy
Commissioner to the effect
that she was the proper
descendant and heir of
Gundamma and Ak-
Kalamma and ~~requested~~ that
~~for the issue~~ of an order might issue
restoring the Inam lands

to

to her possession.

Sholla

7. The Sheikdar who conducted enquiries into the matter reported as follows: —

(1). That the want of the villagers is only the appointment of a new man who could discharge the duties properly, as the present incumbent (Venkatasami) who is ~~only~~ a goldsmith does not know how to do the Blacksmith's work;

(2). That on the otherhand Venkatasami says that he neglected his work as the villagers discontinued to pay him the usual "Meras" for each plough he was required to make; that he ~~is~~ is prepared to make arrangements to meet the ~~required~~ wants of the villagers if they only consent to pay him the usual "Meras"; that Akkalamma is related to him as an aunt; that she made over her inam lands to him while she was alive;

alive; and that he is enjoying them since a long time paying the usual quit-rent to Govt. regularly; and

(3.) ~~that~~ in the Receipt book of Blacksmith Akkulamma of Royalpad this a remark has been made to the effect that Goldsmith Venkatasamee's name must be retained in place of that of Akkulamma as per order in the Patta-transfer Register dated 11-4-86; ~~and that similar remarks or change~~ the same has not been inserted ~~made~~ in the Shanbhogue's accounts where Akkalamma's name ~~is~~ still is retained. S No 1/K.

8. The Amildar States that he has fined the Shanbhog 1 Rupee for his neglect in not correcting the accounts according to orders. The Amildar's report corroborates that of the Sheikdar. S No 1/L

The

S No 1.

9. The Deputy Commissioner now Submits the correspondence for orders with his opinion that the Inam in the names of Gundamma and Akkalamma now enjoyed by Venkatasami may be resumed to Govt: on the ground that Black-Smith's Service is not per-formed by anybody and the lands comprised in it con-firmed on full assessment to the present occupant Venkatasami -

Submitted for orders.

As will be seen from the Title Deed granted by the Inam Department the Inam in question has been confirmed for the performance of the Blacksmiths Service The person now in possession of the lands is therefore bound to

render such service to the Village community. As he has failed to do so, the Government will be justified in resuming the lands. But before resorting to this extreme measure, it may be as well to issue a notice to the present occupant giving him 3 months' notice to arrange for the performance of the Blacksmiths' Services on pain of the resumption of the Inam lands without further notice. ~~In any~~ ~~case~~ The Government cannot recognize Venkatasami's claim for "mirasi" from the Ryots and this fact ~~may~~ is approved may also be embodied in the said notice.

not called for
M
15/11

B.D.
15/11/20

Request Dy. Collr. Bhadrachalam to take the case under the Inam Rules Cont. in Jt. Proc. No. 8828-9 Dt. 16th Dec. 22 R.T. 216-92 & to submit a further report as to whether circumstances that exist at present warrant a different course should be adopted in this case for any special reasons to be explained

Sd/-
3/3

Dy. Secy.

Kondaiya, a relation of Venkatsami, is doing the work. In Rev. No: 8828-9

R.F 216-92

16th Dec 92

It is laid down that "On proof of non-performance of the service, the Inam may be resumed & the lands fully assessed".

K
24/11/93

Memo for orders

Rev Dr.

Please put up a copy of the printed order issued in Dec 1892 regarding the resumption & assessment of Inam lands in those cases in which the service is no longer performed or required.

3/11

Submitted.

24/11 The D.C. states that from local enquiry he learns that nobody is doing the blacksmith's work. Some of the ryots recorded their

Rev Bo. Mark, 15/7.

Submitted with a Memo.

H D
15/11/92.

(General Serial No. 30.) (4) 5-30

(3)

1892. R129

From The Deputy Commr
Kolar District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 13th July 1892

Despatched 14th July 1892

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file

General No. 131

No. 24

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Bringing to notice the claim put forward by Lakshamakka to the Blacksmith's Service Inam lands in certain Villages of Srinivaspur Sub Dalik and states it may be rejected as it is untenable.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

To

The same No 18805 H 3/5/9.
R 7216 92

No. 131/24 cum Office.

Kolar District.
Dated 13th July 1892.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the Blacksmiths Service Inam Lands attached to the marginally noted villages in the Srinivaspur Sub Talug were originally held by Akkalammah and Gundammah and those appertaining to Mudivaripalli and Kandlevaripalli in the same Sub Talug by one Yerumvaripalli Nonnappah as per Title Deed No: 32141 granted to them by the Inam Department in 1873.

2. Akkalammah and Gundammah were respectively the

Particulars of the Inam Lands as per Title deed issued by the Inam Department.

Villages	Dry. Old extent K	Dry. Old extent P	Dry. Old valuation Rs	Dry. Old valuation as.	Dry. Old valuation P.	Wet. Old extent K.	Wet. Old extent P.	Wet. Old Valuation Rs	Wet. Old Valuation as.	Wet. Old Valuation P	Commuted Amount Rs.	Commuted Amount A.	Commuted Amount P.
Rayalpad Srinivaspur Sub Talug	"	2	1	2	7	8		4	8	2	4	3	
Guntipalli do	"	8	8	8	9	1	8	4	9	4	6	14	
Manjuvaripalli do.	"	8	8	7	2	2			14	6		15	
Moramdapal do.	"	8	8	7	7							5	
Yendagunttapalli do.	"						8	8	4	8	6	4	
	"	3	8	2	11	12	8	6	4	8	6	9	

the

the widows of two brothers Subbappah and Timmappah. They both died, the former about 1878 leaving no issue and the latter at a previous date leaving a daughter-in-law Lakshmakkah, the widow of her son Kondappah who had predeceased her.

3. The aforesaid Akkalammah enjoyed the Inam lands in question till about 1875-76 (yuva), when they seem to have been made over by her to one Venkatashami, a Goldsmith at Rayalpad who claims the same under a deed of transfer purporting to have been executed by her in his favor on 8th Kartika Sudha of the year Yuva. This deed recites that for want of qualified heirs, the executant has transferred the Inam to Venkatashami and that the latter may enjoy it paying the quit rent due thereon to Government and performing the blacksmiths' Service in the villages to which the Inam lands are attached. The Gold-smith appears to have ever since been in possession and enjoyment of the lands under allusion.

4. Lakshmakkah who for a long time took her residence in her parents' house in the Siddaghatta Taluq has now come forward with a minor son alleged by her to be the posthumous offspring of her deceased husband Kondappah, and lays claim to the Inam lands under notice offering to arrange for

the

the performance of the Lohar Service for which they are assigned.

5. On local enquiry I learn that the Blacksmiths Service is not performed by anybody and the Inam Lands held by Akkalammah and Gundammah for the purpose have passed into the hands of a 3rd party who has for a long time been enjoying the same and paying the quit rent due thereon to Government.

6. Under these circumstances, I beg to recommend that the Inam may be resumed and the Lands comprised in it confirmed on full assessment to the present occupant Venkatashami.

7. The Inam Lands held by Honnappah in the villages of Mudivaripalli and Kandlevaripalli, measuring dry k. k. P. 0-1-0 and wet 0-2-8 assessed at — Rs 0-9-4 and Rs 2-5-10 respectively subject to the payment of a quit rent of Rs 2-3-0 may be allowed to remain undisturbed as he has been performing the Service for which they have been assigned.

8. The Vernacular correspondence on the subject as per accompanying ferist is herewith submitted for reference and obliging return when no longer required.

Th...

This claim of Lukshmakka at this distance of time is not tenable and may be rejected.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

for Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District.

(10)

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

To

The Deputy Commr.
Kolar

Dated 3 May 1894

Despd

No. 18805 / R7.216-92 fm/

His letter No. 131/24 of
13th July 1892 bringing to
notice the claim put-
-ward by one Lakshmakka
to the Blacksmiths' ~~Service~~
Service Inam lands in Rá-
-yalpád and other villages
in the Srinivasapur Sub-
Taluk and stating, ~~as his~~
~~opinion~~, that the said
Inam in the names of
Gundamma and Akkalamma

now enjoyed by Venkatasami may be resumed ~~by~~ to Govt on the grounds that blacksmith's Service is not performed by any body, and that the lands comprised in it be confirmed on full assessment to the present occupant.

In reply, has the honour to request the Deputy Commr to dispose of the case under the instructions contained in Govt Pro: No 3828-9 R.T. 216.92 dt 16.12.92 (a printed copy of which is herewith sent)

and to submit a fur-
-ther report if he considers
that a different course
should be adopted in this
case for any special rea-
-son to be explained.

By order

Draft 3/5

Chief Secy

3/5/94

GOVERNMENT (General Serial No. 30.)

16891.
14 MAY 94

1894. R3425

Rec'd 14/5/94

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 9th } May 1894.
Despatched 11th }

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 4088 / No. 988 1893-94.

His Docket No. 18805 / R-7216-92 dated 3rd instant regarding the claim put forward by one Lakshmakka to the Blacksmith's Service Inam lands in Rayalpad and other villages in the Srinivaspur Sub-Taluk.

With reference to the above, I have the honour to request that the vernacular papers submitted in this office letter No. 131/24 dated 14th July 1892 may kindly be returned.

Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

From

Draft dated as in margin

Draft dated 12/6/94

To

The Same No. 21894 / R7216 dated 12/6/94.

(General Serial No. 31.)

1894.

From

To The Dy Commr. Kolar Dt

Dated 12 June 1894.

Despatched 15

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file

General No. 21896

R.P. No. 248-92

His docket No. 4088/988 dated the 9th May 1894.

As requested in the above, has the honor to return the file of Vernacular papers subd with his letter No. 131/24 dd the 14th July 1892. on the subject of blacksmith Inam in Royalpad and other Villages in Sreenivasapur Sub Dt

By Order &c

for Secretary

CS 12/6/94